The Dutch Education Award for secondary vocational education and higher education 2024
Call for proposals
Nationaal Regieorgaan Onderwijsonderzoek (NRO)
## Contents

1 Introduction 1  
  1.1 Background 1  
  1.2 Submission deadline(s) 1  
2 Aim 2  
3 Nominating 4  
  3.1 Who can submit a nomination 4  
  3.2 Lead applicant 4  
  3.3 Co-applicant(s) 5  
  3.4 Partner(s) 5  
  3.5 The preparation and submission of the nomination 5  
  3.6 Conditions for submission 6  
  3.7 Conditions on granting 6  
4 Assessment committee and procedure 7  
  4.1 De San Francisco Declaration (DORA) 7  
  4.2 Assessment committee(s) 7  
  4.3 Procedure 8  
  4.4 Criteria 12  
5 Obligations for grant recipients 14  
  5.1 Records and accountability in relation to the Dutch Education Award 14  
6 Contact and other information 19  
  6.1 Contact 19  
  6.2 Other information 19  
7 Annex(s): 20  
  7.1 Budget modules 20  
  7.2 Explanation of budget modules 20  
  7.3 Overview of boards of institutions of secondary vocational education 23
1 Introduction

In this call for proposals (information brochure), you can read how the procedure for the Dutch Education Award for secondary vocational education (MBO) and higher education (HO) 2024 is structured.

This call for proposals falls under the responsibility of the Netherlands Initiative for Education Research (NRO). NRO is a part of the Dutch Research Council (NWO). NRO coordinates and funds education research. It also facilitates connections between scientific research and educational practice. In this way, NRO works on innovation and improvements in education. NRO programs and finances research along the following lines:
1) Research into the key issues in education;
2) Research into (promising) approaches;
3) Open research aimed at the development of knowledge for the future of education;
4) Talent, innovation and incentive grants for knowledge-driven work carried out by education professionals.

This call for proposals helps promote innovation in education and falls under the responsibility of the Programme Council on Scientific Education Research (Prowo).

This call for proposals provides information about the aim of the Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO (Chapter 2), who can apply and for whom a nomination can be submitted (Chapter 3) and how your nomination will be assessed (Chapter 4). You will need this information in order to submit a nomination. The obligations for grant recipients can be found in Chapter 5, the contact details in Chapter 6 and the appendices in Chapter 7.

1.1 Background

In 2020, the Minister of Education, Culture and Science (OCW) awarded the funding, at that time referred to as the Dutch Higher Education Award, for the first time to educational teams in higher professional education (HBO) and university education (WO). In 2023, the funding was awarded for the first time to educational teams in secondary vocational education (MBO), as well. In addition to acknowledgment of scientific research with the NWO Spinoza Prize, NWO Stevin Prize for knowledge utilization and the Delta Prize for applied research (initiative of the Association of Universities of Applied Sciences and SIA Taskforce), educational innovation achieved by educational teams in MBO, HBO and WO in the Netherlands is explicitly acknowledged with the Dutch Education Award.

1.2 Submission deadline(s)

The deadline for submitting nominations is November 2, 2023 before 14:00:00 CET.

When you submit your nomination in ISAAC, you will also need to enter some details online. Therefore please start submitting your nomination at least one day before the deadline of this call for proposals. Nominations that are submitted after the deadline will not be taken into consideration.
2 Aim

The Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO is the highest distinction awarded in Dutch secondary vocational education, higher professional education and university education in acknowledgment of, and as an incentive for, educational innovation in MBO, HBO and WO.

Innovative educational initiative
This funding serves to acknowledge educational teams which have developed an innovative educational initiative over the last six years (between November 2017 and November 2023) which led to an exceptional accomplishment in MBO, HBO and/or WO. The innovative educational initiative need not be sector-specific; it may also relate to multiple educational sectors (that is, MBO, HBO and WO). The Minister of Education, Culture, and Science awards this grant each year to express appreciation for educational teams who are committed to innovating education in MBO, HBO and WO institutions in the Netherlands.

The Dutch Education Award not only expresses appreciation for what the educational teams have already achieved, but also confidence in what they will accomplish further in the years to come. The Dutch Education Award gives educational teams the ability to finance current and future projects that relate to the innovation of MBO, HBO or WO.

Up to €7,500,000 in total is available for the Dutch Education Award for MBO, HBO and WO. The funding is allocated to a maximum of three educational teams per sector:

- the first prize of the Dutch Education Award amounts to €1,200,000;
- the second prize of the Dutch Education Award amounts to €800,000;
- the third prize of the Dutch Education Award amounts to €500,000;

The educational teams that receive the award have considerable discretionary power with regard to its spending. A portion of the funds can be used for new initiatives or to expand existing ones undertaken by the winning educational team (e.g. an extensive student project, curriculum innovation and so forth), but a portion can also be for the benefit of the educational community or the institution as a whole, for example through the establishment of a community of lecturers, training facilities or an institution-wide educational project.

Composition of educational teams
The term ‘educational team’ refers to a collaboration between people who work on establishing and developing an innovative educational initiative. The educational team may consist of no more than ten people and can include lecturers, educational advisors, researchers and students from MBO, HBO and/or WO. All members of an educational team must have played an active role in the educational initiative for which an nomination is submitted. It is often the case that more than ten educational professionals are involved in the implementation of an educational initiative. Your nomination must state which members of the educational team form the core team.

The educational team may consist of members from a single institution, but they may also be from multiple institutions or institutions from more than one sector. If the collaboration is between institutions from the same sector, the institution which submits the nomination will be the lead institution and therefore the lead applicant. If the collaboration is between institutions from two or more educational sectors (MBO, HBO and WO), the educational sector of the institution which submits the nomination, which will be the lead institution and, as such, the lead applicant, will determine the sector for which the nomination may be submitted.
For some educational initiatives, it is essential to work with partners. It is therefore possible to identify partners who are closely involved in setting up, developing or implementing the educational initiative. These can include municipalities, businesses, social partners, secondary schools and other similar parties. The nomination must explain clearly what role and added value the various partners have for the educational initiative. The Dutch Education Award is intended primarily to acknowledge innovative educational initiatives set up by an educational team. However, in order to have a good picture of some of the educational initiatives in the Netherlands, it is important to make clear what the role of the partners involves. Partners are not part of the educational team.
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3 Nominating

This chapter states who is allowed to submit a nomination and what conditions are attached to submitting a nomination for the Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO.

3.1 Who can submit an nomination

Nominations are submitted by an educational team. An educational team with the following profile can submit a nomination:

- The educational team consists of at least two people (one lead applicant and one co-applicant) and up to ten people (one lead applicant and nine co-applicants);
- The educational team includes members who perform various roles, such as lecturers, the involved director of education, instructors, educational advisors, educational researchers, teacher-researchers, PhD students, students, student assistants and so forth;
- This funding has never before been awarded for the educational initiative of the educational team for which the nomination is submitted;¹
- Educational teams are only eligible for the Dutch Education Award if the nomination is supported by one of the following parties:
  - The executive board of a government-funded Dutch institution of secondary vocational education;
  - The executive board of a government-funded Dutch university of applied sciences;
  - The executive board of a government-funded Dutch research university;
  - The board of the Jongeren Organisatie Beroepsonderwijs (JOB MBO), an interest group for students in professional education;
  - The board of the Inter-city Student Consultative Platform (Interstedelijk Studenten Overleg, ISO);
  - The board of the Dutch Student Union (LSVb).²

A party is permitted to support no more than one nomination.

The nomination is prepared by an educational team. There are two different categories of participants in educational teams:

1. Lead applicant
2. Co-applicant(s)

In addition to the educational team, partners may also be identified.

3.2 Lead applicant

The lead applicant must be employed with a Dutch government-funded institution of secondary vocational education or higher education.³

The lead applicant is part of the educational team and is required to submit the nomination via ISAAC, the electronic submission system of the Dutch Research Council (NWO). Whilst the nomination is being assessed, NRO communicates with the lead applicant. After the funding has been awarded, this person will be the point of contact for NWO. The institution of the person who acts as lead applicant is the main recipient and will serve as the lead organization.

---

¹ This refers to the 2021 and 2022 Dutch Higher Education Award and the 2023 Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO.
² Institutions as referred to in Section 1.3.1 of the Adult and Vocational Education Act, insofar as it concerns government-funded secondary vocational education programmes, as referred to in Section 1.2.1, subsection 2 of the Adult and Vocational Education Act, are listed in Appendix 7.3 of this call for proposals. For a complete list of government-funded institutions, see Section 1.8 of the Higher Education and Research Act.
³ Ibid.
3.3 Co-applicant(s)

The co-applicant must be employed with or enrolled as a student at a Dutch government-funded institution of secondary vocational education or higher education. Co-applicants play an active role in the implementation of the project. The project manager(s)/sub-project manager(s) and recipient(s) share responsibility for the implementation of the entire project.

A co-applicant is part of the educational team.

3.4 Partner(s)

In this call for proposals, the educational team may be supported by up to five partners. A partner is a party who is closely involved in starting or the implementation of the educational initiative, but who is not a lead or co-applicant. These may include parties who are involved in the educational initiative, such as municipalities, businesses, social partners, secondary schools and other similar parties. The nomination must explain clearly what role and added value the partner(s) will have for the educational initiative. Partners do not form part of the educational team.

Please note that for staff from organizations identified as partners, no grants towards the costs of salary or research may be requested as a co-applicant in the event that the funding is awarded. It is, however, possible to have the costs reimbursed by engaging these organizations as third parties via the modules ‘material costs’ or ‘knowledge utilization’ (7.1 and 7.2).

3.5 The preparation and submission of the nomination

Follow these steps when drawing up your proposal:
- download the nomination form from the NWO web application ISAAC or from the NRO web page (on the grant page of the funding instrument concerned);
- complete the nomination form;
- save the nomination form in ISAAC as a PDF file and upload it with any compulsory annexes;
- fill in the requested information online in ISAAC.

Compulsory annex(s):
- Form stakeholders

In case NWO has made a template available, the annexe should be drawn up according to the NWO template. Annexes must be uploaded in ISAAC separately from the nomination. The annex, must be submitted as an Excel file (without encryption). Any annexes other than those above-mentioned are not permitted.

You must write your nomination in Dutch or English.

A nomination can only be submitted via the web application ISAAC. Nominations that are not submitted via ISAAC will not be taken into consideration. As the main applicant, you are required to submit the nomination via your own personal ISAAC account.

It is important to start with your nomination in ISAAC on time:
- if you do not yet have an ISAAC account, then you should create this on time to prevent any possible registration problems;

---

4 Ibid.
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- any new organisations must also be added to ISAAC by NWO;
- you also need to submit other details online.

Nominations submitted after the deadline will not be taken into consideration by NWO. For technical questions, please contact the ISAAC helpdesk, see contact (Chapter 6).

Does a main and/or co-applicant work at an organisation that is not included in the ISAAC database? You can report this via relatiebeheer@nwo.nl so that the organisation can be added. This will take several days. It is therefore important that you report this at least one week before the deadline.

The applicant must have informed the organization where he/she is employed regarding the submission of the nomination and the organization must accept the conditions on granting set out in this call for proposals.

3.6 Conditions for submission

3.6.1 Formal conditions for submission

NWO will assess your nomination against the conditions listed below. Your nomination will only be admitted to the assessment procedure if it meets these conditions. After submitting your nomination, NWO requests you to be available to implement any possible administrative corrections so that you can (still) meet the conditions for submission.

These conditions are:
- the nomination must be signed by one of the parties mentioned in Section 3.1;
- a party which is mentioned in Section 3.1 must not have submitted a nomination for more than one educational team;
- the nomination form has been completed in full and in accordance with the instructions (possibly after a request for additions or changes);
- if the educational team is nominated more than once, only the nomination received first via ISAAC will be processed;
- the lead applicant, co-applicants and partners comply with the conditions set out in Chapter 3;
- the nomination has been submitted in ISAAC using the account of the lead applicant;
- the nomination has been received by or before the applicable deadline;
- the nomination has been written in Dutch or English;
- the Dutch Education Award has never before been awarded for the educational initiative or to the educational team for which the nomination is submitted.
- all required appendices have been completed in full and in accordance with the instructions and prepared and submitted according to the conditions on granting set out in this call for proposals (possibly after a request for additions or changes).

3.7 Conditions on granting

The NWO Grant Rules 2017 and the Agreement on the Payment of Costs for Scientific Research are applicable to all nominations.
4 Assessment committee and procedure

This chapter first describes the San Francisco Declaration (Section 4.1). Accordingly it will discuss the assessment committee (Section 4.1). Afterwards it will discuss the course of the assessment procedure (Section 4.3). Lastly, it states the criteria that the assessment committee will use to assess your nomination (Section 4.4).

The NWO Code for Dealing with Personal Interests applies to all persons and NWO employees involved in the assessment and/or decision-taking process (Code for Dealing with Personal Interests | NWO).

NWO strives to achieve an inclusive culture in which there is no place for conscious or unconscious barriers due to cultural, ethnic or religious background, gender, sexual orientation, health or age (Diversity and inclusion | NWO). NWO encourages members of an assessment committee to be actively aware of implicit associations and to try to minimise these. NWO will provide them with information about concrete ways of improving the assessment of a nomination.

4.1 De San Francisco Declaration (DORA)

NWO is a signatory to the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA). DORA is a worldwide initiative that aims to improve the way research and researchers are assessed. DORA contains recommendations for research funders, research institutions, scientific journals and other parties.

DORA aims to reduce the uncritical use of bibliometric indicators and obviate unconscious bias in the assessment of research and researchers. DORA’s overarching philosophy is that research should be evaluated on its own merits rather than on the basis of surrogate measures, such as the journal in which the research is published.

When assessing the scientific track record of applicants, NWO makes use of a broad definition of scientific output.

NWO requests committee members not to rely on indicators such as the Journal Impact Factor or the h-index when assessing nominations. Applicants are not allowed to mention these in their nomination. You are, however, allowed to list other scientific products besides publications, such as datasets, patents, software and code, et cetera.

For more information on how NWO is implementing the principles of DORA, see DORA | NWO.

4.2 Assessment committee(s)

For this call for proposals, an external, independent assessment committee will be set up that consists of representatives from everyday practice, including students and lecturers and people with a knowledge of educational innovation. The assessment committee’s task is to consider the nominations submitted and the associated documentation both in relation to one another and on their own merits based on the assessment criteria set out in this call for proposals.

It is advisable to take into account that the assessment committee is composed of a broad range of representatives from diverse backgrounds in terms of disciplines, areas of expertise and knowledge.
4.3 Procedure

The nomination procedure consists of the following steps:

- An information session;
- Submission of the nomination;
- Consideration of the nomination;
- The preliminary advice of the assessment committee;
- Response;
- Meeting of the assessment committee;
- Decision-making;
- Initial interview;
- Meeting of the assessment committee;
- Decision-making;
- Second interview;
- Meeting of the assessment committee;
- Decision-making;
- Award of the funding.

Due to the special character of the subsidy instrument, NWO has decided for the assessment of the nominations to make use of the possibility given in Article 2.2.4, paragraph 2, of the NWO Grant Rules 2017, to assess all nominations without involving referees.

4.3.1 Information session

NRO will hold an online information session. During this information session, interested parties can ask any questions they may have about this call for proposals and the assessment procedure. Details concerning the registration process for this session and the session itself can be found on NRO’s website. You are not required to participate in the information session.

4.3.2 Submission of the nomination

There is a standard form available for submission of the nomination on the funding page for this call for proposals on the NRO and NWO website. In your nomination, you must adhere to the questions on this form and the methods described in the explanatory notes. You must also adhere to the guidelines for the maximum number of words and pages.

Your fully completed nomination form and the required appendix must be received via ISAAC by the deadline (see Section 1.2). No further nominations will be accepted after the deadline. After submitting the nomination, the lead applicant will receive confirmation of receipt.

You will be asked to indicate a disciplinary code in ISAAC. In this case, you may designate the educational sciences disciplinary code. If desired, you may indicate an additional disciplinary code, as well.

4.3.3 Consideration of the nomination

As soon as possible after you have submitted your nomination, you will be notified of whether NRO will proceed to process your nomination. NWO will determine this on the basis of a number of administrative and technical criteria (see the formal conditions for submission, Section 3.6). Only if your nomination complies with these, can NRO process it. Make sure you take into account that NWO may contact you up to two weeks after the submission deadline to ask you to make any administrative corrections needed if your submission does not yet meet all the relevant conditions for submission. You will be given one opportunity to make the corrections, which must be completed within no more than five working days.
4.3.4 Pre-advice assessment committee

After this, your nomination, will be submitted for comments to several members of the assessment committee (the pre-advisers). The pre-advisers will provide a written substantive and reasoned response to the nomination. They will formulate these comments based on the substantive assessment criteria (see Section 4.4) and will give the proposal a numerical score per assessment criterion. For this, the NWO score table will be used (on a scale of 1 to 9, where “1” is excellent and “9” unsatisfactory).

4.3.5 Response

The lead applicant will receive the anonymized preliminary advice without a score. You will then have the opportunity for a maximum of five working days to formulate a response of no more than 1000 words according to a standard form and to email this to programmaraad@nro.nl. The lead applicant will receive confirmation of receipt after submitting the response. If the deadline passes before NWO receives your response, it will not be considered in the subsequent process. As soon as possible after you have submitted your response, you will be notified of whether NWO will proceed to process your response. Make sure you take into account that NWO may contact you up to two weeks after the submission deadline to ask you to make any administrative corrections needed if your submission does not yet meet all the relevant conditions. You will be given one opportunity to make the corrections, which must be completed within no more than 24 hours.

4.3.6 Meeting of the assessment committee

Based on the materials available to it, the assessment committee will reach its own assessment. The final assessment will be guided to an important degree by the preliminary advice. However, it will not necessarily be adopted in full by the assessment committee. The committee considers the arguments of the preliminary advisors (and checks them against each other) and checks whether a proper reaction has been formulated to the points of criticism in the preliminary advice.

In response to the discussion, the committee will prepare written advice regarding the ratings and prioritization of the nominations, in which it advises selecting up to seven of the highest scoring nominations for each educational sector, of which the educational teams will be invited for the initial interview with the assessment committee and to refrain from inviting the educational teams associated with the other nominations for the initial interview. Of course, it will base this advice on the assessment criteria. A nomination must achieve an overall rating of ‘good’ or above in order for the associated educational team to be eligible for an interview. For more information about the ratings, see: https://www.nwo.nl/en/apply-funding-how-does-it-work.

If, after the discussion of the full proposals, two or more of the full proposals cannot be distinguished from each other based on their weighted total score, then there is an ex aequo situation (see the paragraph about ex aequo).

4.3.7 Decision-making process

Finally, the Prowo will review the procedure followed and the advice of the assessment committee. It then determines the definitive ratings and decides for which nominations the educational teams will be invited for the initial interview and for which ones they will not. Following this decision, the applicants will be notified as quickly as possible. The educational teams will also receive more detailed information about the initial interview.
4.3.8 Initial interview

During the initial interview, the educational team will first have the opportunity to discuss the assessment committee’s reaction received previously by the team. The assessment committee will then ask the educational team questions. This may include questions which have not previously come up. The educational team can respond to these questions during the interview. The interview is a key component of the evaluation process. It has the potential to influence the assessment of the nomination up to that point. Please take the following points into account with regard to the interview:

- No more than four educational team members are permitted to be present during the interview;
- The meeting will in principle be held in person.  

4.3.9 Meeting of the assessment committee

During the assessment meeting, the nominations, preliminary advice, responses and interviews will serve as the point of departure for the assessment committee’s plenary discussion of the nominations. Following their deliberations, the committee will draw up written advice on the quality and prioritization of the nominations to aid in the selection process for the second interview. Of course, it will base this advice on the assessment criteria. A nomination must achieve an overall rating of ‘good’ or above in order for the educational team to be considered for a second interview. In addition, a rating of ‘good’ or better must have been obtained for each of the individual assessment criteria.

If, after the discussion of the full proposals, two or more of the full proposals cannot be distinguished from each other based on their weighted total score, then there is an ex aequo situation (see the paragraph about ex aequo).

4.3.10 Decision-making process

Finally, the Prowo will review the procedure followed and the advice of the assessment committee. It then determines the definitive ratings and decides for which nominations the educational teams will be invited for the second interview and for which ones they will not. All educational teams which are invited for the second interview have been nominated for the Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO 2024. Following this decision, the applicants will be notified as quickly as possible. The educational teams will also receive more detailed information about the second interview.

4.3.11 Second interview

The second interview consists of an experience and an additional interview. The educational team will first be given the opportunity to help the assessment committee experience the essence of the educational initiative and what makes it innovative. It is about providing an authentic experience that is close to the actual educational initiative. The way in which this experience is designed is completely at the educational team’s discretion. The educational team is asked to be creative, whilst taking into account the following:

- No more than 10 people may be involved, of which at least two are from the educational team referred to in the nomination. It is therefore permitted to involve people who are not members of the educational team;
- The meeting will in principle be held in person.  

---

5 Unless indicated otherwise due to the circumstances at hand. Teams will be informed about the options available at that time.

6 Ibid.
Prior to the second interview, the educational team may be given additional questions by the assessment committee. The assessment committee will assess the educational team on the basis of the assessment criteria set out in this call for proposals in the course of the second interview, too. Please take this into consideration when designing the experience.

After the educational team has had the opportunity to provide the experience, the assessment committee will ask the educational team some additional questions. The educational team may respond to these immediately. The experience and the additional interview are a key component of the assessment process. They have the potential to influence the assessment of the nomination up to that point.

4.3.12 Meeting of the assessment committee

The nominations, preliminary advice, responses and the two interviews will serve as the point of departure for the assessment committee’s plenary discussion of the nominations. Following their deliberations, the committee will prepare written advice for the Prowo with regard to the quality and prioritization of the nominations. Of course, it will base this advice on the assessment criteria. A nomination must achieve an overall rating of ‘good’ or above in order for the associated educational team to be considered for the funding. In addition, a rating of ‘good’ or better must have been obtained for each of the individual assessment criteria.

If, after the discussion of the full proposals, two or more of the full proposals cannot be distinguished from each other based on their weighted total score, then there is an ex aequo situation (see the paragraph about ex aequo).

4.3.13 Ex aequo

NWO understands ex aequo to be a situation in which two or more nominations based on their weighted score cannot be distinguished from each other. An ex aequo situation is relevant with respect to the borders of the available budget or the selection borders. The existence of an ex aequo situation is determined as follows. The starting point is the ranking drawn up by the assessment committee, with the final scores rounded down to two decimal points. The reference score is the score of the lowest-ranked proposal within the borders of the available budget or the selection borders. All proposals with a score that is within 0.05 or less of the reference score will be considered. In this way, the proposals that are equal within a score of 0.1 will be selected. If an ex aequo situation occurs at the borders of available budget or the selection borders, then the nomination with the highest score on the criterion ‘Education initiative and education team’ will end up as the highest. If the ex aequo situation is not broken, the nomination with the highest score on the criterion ‘Impact within the field of education’ will end up as the highest. If the nominations subsequently still remain in a tie, the assessment committee, with the help of an (anonymous) majority vote, will determine the ranking (in accordance with Article 2.2.7, third paragraph, sub a, part iv of the NWO Grant Rules 2017). If this vote provides no resolution either, or if it is not desirable to vote, the ex aequo situation will be send on to the decision-making body.

4.3.14 Decision-making process

Finally, the Prowo will review the procedure followed and the advice of the assessment committee. It then determines the final ratings and decides on whether to approve or reject the nominations.

4.3.15 Award of the funding

During the presentation of the Dutch Education Award for MBO and HO at the Comenius Festival, it is announced which of the nominated educational teams will receive a prize and in which place each of them finished. It is also only then that the educational teams will be informed of the Prowo’s decision.
4.3.16 Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 November 2023, 14:00:00 CET</td>
<td>Deadline for submitting nominations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late November – start of December 2023</td>
<td>Assessment committee writes preliminary advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of December</td>
<td>Educational team prepares response (five working days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of January 2024</td>
<td>Meeting of the assessment committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2024</td>
<td>Decision by the Prowo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of March 2024</td>
<td>Initial interview and meeting of the assessment committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2024</td>
<td>Decision by the Prowo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of May 2024</td>
<td>Second interview and meeting of the assessment committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2024</td>
<td>Decision by the Prowo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of June 2024</td>
<td>Presentation of the Dutch Education Award at the Comenius Festival</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Criteria

4.4.1 Criteria for assessing content

The content of the nominations for this call for proposals will be assessed according to the following assessment criteria:

1. Educational initiative and educational team (50%)
2. Impact within the educational field (30%)
3. Evidence-informed approach (20%)

1. Educational initiative and educational team (weighting 50%)
   a. To what degree does the nomination make clear that the educational team has succeeded in creating an innovative educational initiative over the last six years (November 2017 through November 2023)?
   b. To what degree does the nomination make clear what the composition of the educational team is and how the various roles within the team have contributed towards the educational initiative? If partners have been identified: To what degree does the nomination make clear which partners are and what their close involvement in the educational initiative entails?
   c. To what degree has the educational team delivered an excellent and extraordinary accomplishment through its collaboration in the educational initiative? This can include the use of exceptional formats within its own educational context, the demonstration of the educational team’s capacity to learn and synergy in the collaboration, as well as reinforcement of the quality of the educational initiative through diversity within the team.
   d. To what degree does the educational team demonstrate that it encourages collaboration with other parties from within and/or outside of its own educational institution(s)? This can include other degree programmes, institutions, educational sectors, society at large or the job market.
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e. To what degree does the educational team with its previous achievements inspire confidence that it will also be capable in future of making an ambitious contribution towards the development of existing or new educational initiatives?

2. Impact within the educational field (weighting 30%)
   a. To what degree has the educational team’s educational initiative and working method had an impact on the education, for example by influencing students, lecturers, courses/follow-up courses, alignment with the job market, institutions and/or secondary vocational education/higher education in the Netherlands?
   b. To what degree is it made clear that the size of the impact is appropriate for the described educational context of the educational initiative?
   c. To what degree is the educational initiative scaled up or disseminated within or outside of the educational team’s own educational institution(s)?
   d. To what degree is a convincing case made that the educational initiative might also be interesting for and accessible to other degree programmes and institutions?

3. Evidence-informed approach (weighting 20%)
   a. To what degree does the educational team demonstrate that it has worked systematically on the educational initiative? To what degree has the educational team demonstrated a focus on periodic contemplation of project results?
   b. To what degree is the educational initiative structured and, where applicable, scaled up with an evidence-informed working method, for example through the use of scientific literature and evaluations?
5 Obligations for grant recipients

This Chapter explains the various obligations which – supplementary to the conditions on granting referred to in Section 3.7 – will apply following the award.

5.1 Records and accountability in relation to the Dutch Education Award

1. In the first instance, the funding is reserved for its recipients, referred to below as ‘laureates’, and people who are employed at an institution of secondary vocational education, a Dutch government-funded university of applied sciences or a government-funded Dutch research university; see also Section 3.1 of this call for proposals. However, the prize may also be spent on people employed with other Dutch educational institutions or organizations; see Appendix 7 and the NWO Grant Rules 2017 for the exact conditions. In this respect, the laureates have a lot of discretionary power when it comes to the spending, but are expected to use most of the funding for its intended purpose as described in Section 2 of this call for proposals. In consultation with the involved executive board, the laureates will prepare a concise spending plan. The funding must be spent within no more than 5 years.

2. At least the following points must be included in the spending plan:
   a. It includes an explanation of the project or projects on which the funding will be spent.
   b. It must be clarified in the plan how the project contributes towards the innovation of MBO, HBO or WO in the Netherlands.
   c. It must be clarified in the plan how the laureates are involved in the project or the projects.
   d. It must be clarified whether, and, if so, how attention is given to enabling the educational field to familiarize itself with or learn from the project or the projects.
   e. The plan includes a broad overview of the staff and material costs the project is expected to incur.

   The budget is drawn up according to the budget modules as stated in Appendix 7, Section 7.1. A format will be made available to aid in the preparation of plan after the funding has been awarded.

3. Within six months after presentation of the award, the lead applicant must submit the spending plan to NRO. It will be checked to ensure compliance with the conditions on granting set out in 3.7 and 5.1. Next, the spending plan will be presented to the Prowo. The Prowo will then adopt the spending plan. With NRO’s approval, the lead applicant is permitted to make interim changes to the spending plan. As the lead applicant, you are required to report any changes made to the planning or execution of the research immediately. You must also provide NRO with a clearly substantiated motivation for the relevant changes. In case of budgetary shifts, shifts between categories of staff costs and between staff and material costs must always be presented to NRO. Shifts within the materials budget only need to be submitted to NRO if:
   - the shift has implications for the content, planning and/or intended results of the research;
   - the shift involves more than 20% of the total materials budget.

4. The knowledge institution of the lead applicant manages and treats the funding as is customary for grants issued by NWO to the institution and organizes the records such that NWO may perform an audit at any time. NRO will in any case request a process report, the final financial accounts and a final report.

5. If publications are to be funded with the grant, NWO requires it to be stated that the publication has been financed (in part) by the Dutch Education Award. To find out how you can do this, please see the NRO website.

6. If the funding is used to carry out research, the following conditions apply:
   a. All scientific research results funded by NWO must immediately (at the time of publication) be made freely accessible worldwide (Open Access). There are various

---

7 Institutions as referred to in Section 1.3.1 of the Adult and Vocational Education Act, insofar as it concerns government-funded senior secondary vocational education programmes, as referred to in Section 1.2.1, subsection 2 of the Adult and Vocational Education Act.
ways for researchers to publish Open Access. A detailed explanation of Open Access publishing can be found at www.nwo.nl/openscience. The Netherlands Initiative for Education Research (NRO) has a digital platform about research-informed educational innovation for higher education (www.onderwijskennis.nl) that may be able to serve as a platform for these results.

b. Good research goes hand in hand with responsible data management. Wherever possible, NWO wants research data generated by publicly funded research to be made freely available on a lasting basis, for reuse by other researchers. Moreover, NWO wants to increase awareness among researchers regarding the importance of responsible data management. Further information about NWO’s data management protocol can be found at: https://www.nwo.nl/research-datamanagement.

c. All research funded by NWO must be carried out in accordance with nationally and internationally accepted standards of scientific practice as laid down in the ‘Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity’ (Universities of the Netherlands (UNL)).

7. If the funding has not been spent in full within five years, the laureate may submit a request for an extension to NWO. The laureate must include a report of the funds spent up until that point, a brief (one-page) substantive report and a spending plan for the upcoming period along with the request.

8. At the end of the funding period, the knowledge institution which takes care of the management and the records (the lead applicant), is required to report to NWO on all expenditures made during the entire period. This final statement must be approved by the head of the financial unit responsible for keeping these records.

5.1.1 Supplementary conditions following allocation

Final start date
A project for which the grant has been awarded must begin no more than six months following allocation. If the project is started late, NRO may withdraw its grant decision. Before the project starts, you must submit a fully completed and signed project notification form (PMF) via ISAAC. You must also register each appointment of a PhD student or postdoc via ISAAC for the appointment period for the project.

If applicable, you must submit a data management plan via ISAAC no more than four months after the spending plan is approved. You can download all documents at www.nro.nl/projectbeheer.

Educational knowledge
In the framework of knowledge dissemination and knowledge utilization, implementers may be invited during the course of the project to make a contribution relating to the project’s theme to a theme page on the national knowledge hub Onderwijskennis.nl. This platform is made possible by NRO and lists scientifically substantiated sources from various partners for the purpose of making knowledge accessible and linking educational research to educational practice and educational policy. The website offers thematic pages on relevant educational themes, featuring thematic overviews, practical tools and sources providing in-depth information, among other things.

A contribution may be requested in the form of the delivery of an appropriate source, but it could also be in the form of a review of a thematic overview or previously selected sources on the research theme investigated by the project. A contribution can be made regardless of the educational sector or the perspective of the project. If a contribution to the national knowledge hub Onderwijskennis is desired, NRO will contact the project manager. If you yourself would like to make a contribution, you can also contact Onderwijskennis via the contact page Onderwijskennis.nl.
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5.1.2 Data management

After a nomination has been awarded funding, the researcher should elaborate the data management section into a data management plan if research will be conducted with the award. If applicable, you will need to develop the data management section into a data management plan. The applicant describes in the plan whether use will be made of existing data, whether new data will be collected or generated, and how the data will be made FAIR: Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable. Before submission, the data management plan should be checked by a data steward or similar officer of the organisation where the project will be realised. The plan should be submitted to NWO via ISAAC within 4 months after the proposal has been awarded funding. NWO will check the plan as quickly as possible. Approval of the data management plan by NWO is a condition for disbursement of the funding. The plan can be adjusted during the research.

More information about the data management protocol of NWO can be found at: Research data management | NWO.

The results of scientific research must be replicable, verifiable and falsifiable. In the digital age, this means that, in addition to publications, research data must also be publicly accessible insofar as this is possible. NWO expects that research data resulting from NWO-funded projects will be made publicly available, as much as possible, for reuse by other researchers. “As open as possible, as closed as necessary” is the applicable principle in this respect. Researchers are at least expected to make the data and/or non-numerical results that underlie the conclusions of work published within the project publicly available at the same time as the work’s publication. Any costs incurred for this can be included in the project budget. Researchers should explain how data emerging from the project will be dealt with based on the data management section in the proposal and the data management plan that is drawn up after funding is awarded.

Datamanagementparagraaf

The data management section is part of the spending plan. Researchers are asked before the start of the research to consider how the data collected will be ordered and categorised so that this can be made publicly available. Measures will often already need to be taken, during the creation of data and analysis of the data, to make its later storage and dissemination possible. If it is not possible to make all data from the project publicly available, for example due to reasons of privacy, ethics or valorisation, then the applicant is obliged to list the reasons for this in the data management section.

5.1.3 Open Access

As a signatory to the Berlin Declaration (2003) and a member of cOAlition S (2018), NWO is committed to making the results of the research it funds openly accessible via the internet (Open Access). By doing this, NWO gives substance to the ambitions of the Dutch government to make all publicly funded research available in Open Access form. Scientific publications arising from projects awarded on the basis of this Call for proposals must therefore be made available in Open Access form in accordance with the Open Access Policy.

Scientific articles

Scientific articles must be made available in Open Access form immediately at the time of publication (without embargo) via one of the following routes:

- publication in a fully Open Access journal or platform registered in the DOAJ;
- publication in a subscription journal and the immediate deposition of at least the author accepted manuscript of the article in an Open Access repository registered in Open DOAR;
- publication in a journal for which a transformative Open Access agreement exists between UNL and a publisher. For further information, see Open Access |.
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Books
Different requirements apply to scholarly books, book chapters and edited collections. See the Open Access Policy Framework at Open Science | NWO.

CC BY licence
To ensure the widest possible dissemination of publications, at least a Creative Commons (CC BY) licence must be applied. Alternatively – in case of substantial interest – the author may request to publish under a CC BY-ND licence. For books, book chapters and collected volumes, all CC BY licence options are allowed.

Costs
Costs for publication in fully Open Access journals can be budgeted in the nomination using the budget module for “material costs”. Costs for publications in hybrid journals are not eligible for reimbursement by NWO. For Open Access books, a separate NWO Open Access Books Fund is available.

Other types of publications
NWO recommends that non-scientific publications, too, be released as soon as possible, subject to an open licence. This concerns items such as reports, working papers, posters, protocols, prototypes, presentations, and project websites. To ensure discoverability, reusability, and long-term accessibility, it is advisable to:
- use a DOI (Digital Object Identifier) or other persistent identifier;
- use an open licence, preferably a Creative Commons Licence;
- store the material in a trusted repository that guarantees long-term accessibility.

NWO recommends using Zenodo, which offers free storage and automated services in these three areas.

For more detailed information about NWO’s Open Access policy, see Open Science | NWO.

5.1.4 Compliance with the National Knowledge Security Guidelines

World-class science can benefit from international cooperation. The National Knowledge Security Guidelines (hereafter: the Guidelines) helps knowledge institutions to ensure that international cooperation can take place securely. Knowledge security concerns the undesirable transfer of sensitive knowledge and technology that compromises national security; the covert influence of state actors on education and research, which jeopardises academic freedom and social safety; and ethical issues that may arise in cooperation with countries that do not respect fundamental rights.

Applicants are responsible for ensuring that their project complies and will continue to comply with the Guidelines. By submitting a nomination, the applicant commits to the recommendations stipulated in these Guidelines. In the event of a suspected breach of the Guidelines in a nomination submitted to NWO for project funding, or in a project funded by NWO, NWO may ask the applicant to provide a risk assessment demonstrating that the recommendations in the Guidelines have been taken into consideration. If the applicant fails to comply with NWO’s request, or if the risk assessment is in apparent breach of the Guidelines, this may affect NWO’s grant award or decision-making process. NWO may also include further conditions in the award letter if appropriate.

The National Knowledge Security Guidelines can be found on the central government website at: Home | National Contact Point for Knowledge Security (loketkennisveiligheid.nl).
5.1.5 Scientific integrity

In accordance with the NWO Grant Rules 2017, the project that NWO funds must be carried out in accordance with the nationally and internationally accepted standards for scientific conduct as stated in the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (2018). By submitting the proposal, the applicant commits to this code. In the case of a (possible) violation of these standards during a project funded by NWO, the applicant should immediately inform NWO of this and should submit all relevant documents to NWO. More information about the code of conduct and the policy regarding research integrity can be found on the website: Scientific integrity | NWO.

5.1.6 Ethical statement or licence

The applicant is responsible for determining whether an ethical statement or licence is needed for the realisation of the proposed project (spending plan). The applicant should ensure that this is obtained from the relevant institution or ethics committee on time. If the project is awarded funding, then the grant is issued under the condition that the necessary ethical statement or licence is obtained before the latest start date for the project. The project cannot start until NWO has received a copy of the ethical statement or licence.
6 Contact and other information

6.1 Contact

6.1.1 Specific questions

For specific question about this call for proposals, please contact:

Tamara Hussein, Anna Leerink en Liset Rouweler
email: programmaraad@nro.nl
Telephone: +31 (0) 70 344 0514

6.1.2 Technical questions about the web application ISAAC

For technical questions about the use of ISAAC, please contact the ISAAC helpdesk. Please read the manual first before consulting the helpdesk. The ISAAC helpdesk can be contacted from Monday to Friday between 10:00 and 17:00 hours on +31 70 34 40 600. However, you can also submit your question by email to isaac.helpdesk@nwo.nl. You will then receive an answer within two working days.

6.2 Other information

NWO processes data from applicants received in the context of this Call in accordance with the NWO Privacy Statement, Privacy Statement | NWO.

NWO might approach applicants for an evaluation of the procedure and/or research programme
7 Annex(s):

7.1 Budget modules

If you receive a grant and are required to submit a spending plan, the budget modules (including the maximum amounts) listed in the table below will be available. You should only apply for what is essential for carrying out the project. A more detailed explanation of the budget modules is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budgetmodule</th>
<th>Maximum amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhD student (including MD-PhD)</td>
<td>according to UNL-tariffs or NFU-tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Doctorate in engineering</td>
<td>according to UNL-tariffs or NFU-tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoc</td>
<td>according to UNL-tariffs or NFU-tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-scientific staff (NSS) at universities</td>
<td>according to UNL-tariffs or NFU-tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff at research universities, universities of</td>
<td>rates based on Government Tariffs Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>applied sciences and institutions of secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vocational education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material costs</td>
<td>no maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>maximum € 150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge utilisation</td>
<td>no maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalisation</td>
<td>€ 25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Explanation of budget modules

It is possible to apply for the funding of the salary costs of personnel who make a substantial contribution to the research. Funding of these salary costs depends on the type of appointment and the organisation where the personnel are/will be appointed:

- For university appointments of a PhD-student, postdoc or NSS at a university institution, the salary costs are funded in accordance with the UNL salary tables at the moment the grant is awarded (Salary tables | NWO).
- For university medical centres, the salary costs are funded in accordance with the NFU salary tables applicable at the moment the grant is awarded (Salary tables | NWO).
- For staff of universities (excluding staff under the UNL and NFU rates), colleges and secondary vocational education, salary costs will be funded based on the collective labour agreement pay scale of the employee concerned in accordance with the applicable rate at the time of awarding the grant as taken from Table 2.2, column ‘Hourly rate productive hours, excl. Dutch VAT’ from the Handleiding Overheidstarieven [HOT- Manual Dutch Government Rates] (Salary tables | NWO).
- For the Caribbean Netherlands, the Dutch government employs civil servants on Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba under different conditions than in the European part of the Netherlands Employment terms and conditions | Working at the Rijksdienst Caribisch Nederland | Rijksdienst Caribisch Nederland (rijksdienstcn.com).

In the case of the budget modules ‘PhD student’, ‘EngD’ and ‘postdoc’ there is a one-off personal bench fee of €5,000 in addition to the salary costs. This bench fee is aimed at promoting the scientific career of project employees funded by NWO. Fees for PhD students/scholarships at a Dutch university do not qualify for an NWO grant.

The currently available budget modules are explained below.
PhD student (including MD-PhD)

A PhD student is appointed for 1.0 FTE for a duration of 48 months. The equivalent of 48 full-time months, for example an appointment of 60 months for 0.8 FTE, is also possible. If a different duration of appointment is considered necessary for the realisation of the proposed research, then the standard time can be deviated from as long as this is properly justified. However, the duration of appointment must always be at least 48 months.

Engineering Doctorate degree (EngD)

Funding for the appointment of an EngD can only be applied for if funding for a PhD student or postdoc is also applied for.

The appointment for an EngD position is a maximum of 1.0 FTE for 24 months. The EngD trainee is employed by the institution applying for funding and can realise activities that are part of the research at an industrial partner for a specified time. If the research proposal is awarded funding, then an agreement must be concluded with the industrial partner(s) concerned. The underlying “Technological Designer Programme” must be described in the proposal.

Postdoc

The size and duration of the postdoc appointment is at least 6 full months and at most 48 full-time months. The size and duration of the appointment is at the applicant’s discretion, but the appointment is always for at least 0.5 FTE or for a duration of at least 12 months. The product of FTE x duration of appointment should always be a minimum of 6 full-time months.

The material budget is available to cover the costs of a more limited appointment of a postdoc.

Non-scientific staff (NSS) at universities

Funding for the appointment of NSS required to realise the research project can only be applied for if funding for a PhD student or postdoc is also applied for. A maximum of €100,000 can be requested for NSS. This includes personnel such as student assistants, programmers, technical assistants or analysts. Depending on the level of the position, the appropriate salary table for NSS at MBO, HBO or university level applies.

The size of the appointment is at least 6 full-time months and at most 48 full-time months. The size and duration of the appointment is at the applicant’s discretion, but the appointment is always for at least 0.5 FTE or for a duration of at least 12 months. The product of FTE x duration of appointment should always be a minimum of 6 full-time months.

The material budget is available to cover the costs of a more limited appointment of NSS.

Staff at research universities, universities of applied sciences and institutions of secondary vocational education

The costs of financing staff members at research universities, universities of applied sciences or institutions of secondary vocational education (with the exception of staff falling under UNL or the Dutch Federation of University Medical Centers (NFU)) are reimbursed in accordance with Table 2.2, the ‘Hourly rate for productive hours, excl. VAT’ column of the Guide to Government Rates. (Table of salaries | NWO).

The calculation should be based on the number of productive hours indicated in the applicable volume of the Guide to Government Rates.

Explanation of budget module Material

The applicant is responsible for distributing the total amount of material budget across the NWO-funded personnel positions. The material budget that can be applied for is specified according to the three categories below:
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**Project-related goods/services**
- consumables (e.g. glassware, chemicals, cryogenic fluids, etc.);
- measurement and calculation time (e.g. access to supercomputer, etc.);
- costs for acquiring or using data collections (e.g. from Statistics Netherlands [CBS]), for which the total amount may not be more than €25,000 per proposal;
- access to large national and international facilities (e.g. cleanroom, synchrotron, etc.);
- work by third parties (e.g. laboratory analyses, data collection, citizen science, etc.);
- personnel costs for the appointment of a postdoc and/or non-scientific personnel for a smaller appointment size than those offered in the personnel budget modules.

**Travel and accommodation costs for the personnel positions applied for**
- travel and accommodation costs;
- conference attendance (maximum of two per year per scientific position applied for);
- fieldwork;
- work visit.

**Implementation costs**
- national symposium/conference/workshop organised by the project researchers;
- costs for Open Access publishing (solely in full gold Open Access journals, registered in the “Directory of Open Access Journals” [https://doaj.org/]);
- costs data management;
- costs involved in applying for licences (e.g. for animal experiments);
- audit costs (only for institutions that are not subject to the education accountants protocol of the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science), maximum €5,000 per proposal; for projects with a duration of three years or less, a maximum of €2,500 per proposal applies.

**Costs that cannot be applied for are:**
- basic facilities within the institution (e.g. laptops, office furniture, etc.);
- maintenance and insurance costs.

**Citizen science**
Involving citizens (citizen science) can contribute to the quality of the research. With the help of citizens, data and insights can be acquired that would not otherwise be available for research. NWO also funds citizen science. Applicants can use the budget module “material, project-related goods/services, work by third parties” to request a remuneration for the involvement of citizens in projects. The budget module offers a possibility and is not a requirement. Applicants are free to decide whether it is worthwhile involving citizens in the project and what exactly they use this budget for (for example, reimbursement of expenses of citizens, skills training for citizens or technical devices for the participating citizen).

**Explanation of budget module Investments (up to € 150.000)**
In this budget module, funding can be requested up to a maximum of €150,000 for investments in equipment, datasets and/or software (e.g. lasers, specialised computers or computer programs).
Explanation of op budget module Knowledge utilisation

The aim of this budget module is to facilitate the use of the knowledge that emerges from the research. As knowledge utilisation takes many different forms in different scientific fields, the applicant needs to specify the required costs, e.g. costs of producing a teaching package, conducting a feasibility study into potential applications, or filing a patent application.

The budget applied for should be adequately specified in the proposal.

Explanation of budget module Internationalisation

The budget for internationalisation is intended to encourage international collaboration. The budget applied for may not exceed €25,000. The amount requested must be specified. If the maximum amount is not sufficient for realising the research, then it may be deviated from if an adequate justification is provided in the proposal.

Funding can be requested for:
- travel and accommodation costs in so far as these concern direct research costs emerging from the international collaboration and additional costs for internationalisation that cannot be covered in another manner, for example from the bench fee;
- travel and accommodation costs for foreign guest researchers;
- costs for organising international workshops/symposia/scientific meetings.

7.3 Overview of boards of institutions of secondary vocational education

The overview is from 1 August retrieved from: https://duo.nl/open_onderwijsdata/middelbaar-beroepsonderwijs/adressen/adressen-mbo-besturen.jsp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Competent authority number</th>
<th>Authority name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>30932</td>
<td>Stg. Beroepsonderw./ Volw.educ en AVO in Friesland en Flevoland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>31069</td>
<td>Stichting Regionaal Onderwijs Centrum Noordoost-Brabant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>31087</td>
<td>Stichting Onderwijsgroep Tilburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>31107</td>
<td>Stichting Curio Onderwijsgroep West-Brabant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>31108</td>
<td>Stichting Agrar. Opleidingscentrum Terra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>31109</td>
<td>Stichting Christelijk R.O.C. Noord- en Oost-Nederland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>31121</td>
<td>Stichting Grafisch Lyceum Utrecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>31127</td>
<td>Stichting STC-Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>31137</td>
<td>Stg. Lentiz Onderwijsgroep, s.w.s. voor BVE/VO ih WI/NWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>31150</td>
<td>Stichting Regio. Opleid.centr. Ter AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>31222</td>
<td>Stichting voor Educatie en Beroepsonderwijs Zadkine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>34386</td>
<td>Stichting SOMA College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>40190</td>
<td>Stichting Reg. Opleidingen Centrum Rivor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This budgetmodule is in line with the definition of “knowledge transfer” used by the European Commission in the Community framework, for State aid for research development and innovation (PbEU 2014, C 198).
| 14. | 40290 | Stichting Albeda |
| 15. | 40392 | Sticht. Ber.onderw.&Volw.educ. Oost-Gelderland |
| 16. | 40597 | Stichting ROC Midden Nederland |
| 17. | 40673 | Stichting Vonk |
| 18. | 40687 | Stichting Aeres Groep |
| 19. | 40737 | Stichting Hout- en Meubileringscollege |
| 20. | 40768 | Stichting BVE Zuid-Limburg |
| 22. | 40800 | Stichting mboRijnland |
| 23. | 40810 | Stichting Landstede |
| 24. | 40833 | Stichting Regionaal Opleidingencentrum van Amsterdam - Flevoland |
| 25. | 40838 | Stichting Noorderpoort |
| 27. | 40844 | Stichting Deltion College |
| 28. | 40852 | Stichting R.O.C. Nijmegen e.o. |
| 29. | 40854 | Stichting ROC Summa College |
| 30. | 40864 | Stichting Regio College voor Beroepsonderwijs en Educatie Zaanstreek Waterland |
| 31. | 40867 | Stichting voor Educatie en Beroepsonderwijs |
| 32. | 40870 | Scalda Stg. middelbaar beroepsb/volwasseneneduc. |
| 33. | 40872 | Stg. Regionaal Opleid. Centrum Drenthe |
| 34. | 40877 | Stg ROC Noord-Kennemerland / W-Friesland (Horizon College) |
| 35. | 40878 | Stichting Gilde Opleidingen |
| 36. | 40979 | Stichting Groen Onderwijs Oost Nederland |
| 37. | 41100 | Stichting Yuverta |
| 38. | 41151 | Stg. Regionaal Opleid. Centrum AVENTUS |
| 39. | 41171 | Stichting ROC Mondriaan |
| 40. | 41300 | Stg. Christelijke Onderw. Grp Vallei & Gelderland-Midden |
| 41. | 41462 | Stichting Regionaal Opleidingencentrum van Twente |
| 42. | 41599 | Stg. Kath. Ondernemersonderw. te Tilburg |
| 43. | 41769 | Stichting voor Onderwijs op Reformatorische Grondslag |
| 44. | 41789 | Stichting Gereformeerde Scholengroep |
| 45. | 41882 | Stichting SintLucas |
| 46. | 41894 | Stichting Leidse Instrumentmakers School |
| 47. | 42625 | Stichting Regio. Opleidingen Centrum TOP |
| 48. | 42626 | St. Interconfessioneel Beroepsonderwijs en Volwasseneneducatie regio Utrecht |
| 49. | 42627 | St. Interconfessioneel Beroepsonderwijs en Volwasseneneducatie regio Amersfoort |
| 50. | 67107 | Stichting Media Amsterdam |
| 51. | 67237 | Stichting Grafisch Lyceum Rotterdam |
| 52. | 67991 | Stg. Nationaal Instituut voor Middelb.Econ. en Techn.onderw. |
| 53. | 68056 | Stichting Cibap |
| 54. | 68095 | Stichting Vakinstelling SVO |